HQ theropods are separated from each other by branches subtending taxa from other continental faunas, indicating that dispersal between these geographical regions probably occurred during the Carnian-Norian. Other contemporaneous theropod assemblages from Europe (22) and South America contain only members of Neotheropoda and do not match the diversity of theropods at the HQ.

Both parsimony (23) and likelihood-based (24) biogeographic methods for ancestral range reconstruction reject scenarios of an endemic North American theropod radiation (10). Analyses differ slightly in support for range reconstructions at individual nodes, but provide high relative support for inferring the South American protocontinent as the ancestral range through the spine of the basal dinosaur tree (10). In most analyses, the distributions of the three HQ theropods are explained by either dispersal to North America from South America or allopatric and/or vicariant speciation from an ancestral widespread range encompassing North and South America (10). This pattern is apparent in many other clades during the Late Triassic, including aetosaurs (25), crocodylomorphs (26), shuvosaurus (27), and “transospondy” cynodonts (28). The ubiquity of this phylogenetic pattern in clades encompassing markedly different ecomorphotypes argues against the presence of physiological barriers isolating the Norian faunas of North America. Thus, the conspicuous absence of sauropodomorphs in the Norian of North America (3, 12) cannot be attributed to their inability to disperse to these areas but rather to their inability to become established in areas sampled by Late Triassic terrestrial sedimentary outcrops. Latitudinal differentiation of Norian faunas attributable to climatic
differences and climatic tolerances remains an intriguing explanation for the global ubiquity of basal theropod taxa such as *Tanystropheus* and the North American absence of sauropodomorphs. Indeed, recent paleoclimate models and proxy data for the Late Triassic reveal a marked dichotomy between low and high paleolatitudes (29). Alternative explanations, including smaller-scale ecological differences, community-level interactions, or facies-dependent sampling biases, cannot be ruled out, nor are these explanations mutually exclusive (12). Explaining these patterns remains an outstanding problem in early dinosaur evolution at the nexus of phylogenetic, geologic, and paleoclimatic studies of the Late Triassic.
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**An Analytical Solution to the Kinetics of Breakable Filament Assembly**

Tuomas P. J. Knowles,1,2 Christopher A. Waudby,3 Glyn L. Devlin,3 Samuel I. A. Cohen,3 Adriano Aguzzi,4 Michele Vendruscolo,3 Eugene M. Terentjev,1 Mark E. Welland,2* Christopher M. Dobson4*

We present an analytical treatment of a set of coupled kinetic equations that governs the self-assembly of filamentous molecular structures. Application to the case of protein aggregation demonstrates that the kinetics of amyloid growth can often be dominated by secondary rather than by primary nucleation events. Our results further reveal a range of general features of the growth kinetics of fragmenting filamentous structures, including the existence of generic scaling laws that provide mechanistic information in contexts ranging from in vitro amyloid growth to the in vivo development of mammalian prion diseases.

*Material self-assembly is the basis of phenomena ranging from the construction of materials for nanotechnology (1) to the formation of molecular machineries within living cells (2). The assembly of these frequently complex and highly intricate structures typically depends on a series of individual steps that are inherently simple and are therefore amenable in principle to a quantitative analysis based on physical principles. An important class of molecular structures that emerges from the self-assembly of simpler components is that of filamentous assemblies of biological macromolecules. Many proteinaceous aggregates of this type, which are increasingly linked with normal and aberrant biological processes (2), form through a nucleation mechanism followed by a self-templated growth where the ends of existing filaments recruit soluble molecules into aggregating that can themselves multiply through secondary nucleation processes such as fragmentation (Fig. 1A).

One of the key questions in molecular self-assembly phenomena is to determine the relative importance of different microscopic processes and their contribution to the overall reaction (3, 4). Master equation approaches are particularly powerful in this context as they enable the explicit description of microscopic processes and have thus offered a series of insights (5–10) into phenomena including the formation of amyloid fibrils, species that are of increasing interest particularly because of their association with clinical disorders ranging from Alzheimer’s disease to type II diabetes (2). The lack of analytical
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solutions to such master equations has, however, represented a challenge in the quest to establish general principles and laws governing filamentous growth. Scaling laws, also known in biology as allometric laws, define the relationships between different properties of a given system, and such laws have enabled a variety of fundamental principles to be revealed in fields ranging from condensed matter physics to ecology and from sociology to economics (11, 12). In this work, we illustrate how the availability of an analytical treatment of a master equation (Eq. 1) enables the rationalization of a wide range of experimental results related to the self-assembly processes of peptides and proteins based on scaling laws and increases the predictive power of the analysis of such phenomena.

The basic processes that enter the master equation describing filamentous protein aggregation have been established previously. Amyloid formation has almost universally been shown to involve a nucleation-dependent polymerization reaction (13), where the formation of growth nuclei from soluble proteins is slower than the elongation of preformed nuclei. In addition, secondary nucleation mechanisms (3) have been identified (5, 7, 14) that lead to the formation of additional nuclei from preexisting filaments; we include here a common type of such mechanism, namely filament fragmentation (5), and other types can also readily be considered within the same scheme [see Supporting Online Material (SOM)]. These molecular processes lead to a master equation describing the time evolution of the concentration \( f(t,j) \) of filaments of length \( j \) in the system as an infinite set of coupled nonlinear differential equations of the form (6–10)

\[
\frac{df(t,j)}{dt} = 2m(t)k_j f(t, j - 1) - 2m(t)k_j f(t, j) - k_m(j - 1)f(t, j) + 2k_m \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} f(t, i) + k_n m(t)^{\xi} \delta_{j,n_0},
\]

where \( m(t) \) is the concentration of monomers. The different terms in Eq. 1 represent the elementary microscopic processes. The first term \( 2m(t)k_j f(t, j - 1) \) accounts for the increase in the number of filaments of length \( j \) due to the addition of monomers to either end of a filament of length \( j - 1 \). The term \(-2m(t)k_j f(t, j)\) describes the decrease in their number due to filaments of length \( j \) growing further to length \( j + 1 \), the term \(-k_m(j - 1)f(t, j)\) reflects the possibility of a filament of length \( j \) breaking at any of its \( j - 1 \) internal links, and the term \( 2k_m \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} f(t, i) \) accounts for the fact that there are two links in any filament of length \( j > j \) where breakage leads to a filament of length \( j \). The last term represents the spontaneous formation of growth nuclei of size \( n_0 \) as a polynomial form in \( m(t) \), which we shall see has the property that it allows the conclusions from the classical theory of homogeneous nucleated growth to be recovered as the limit of our results when setting the fragmentation rate to zero.

Using analytical techniques based on fixed-point mappings (15), we extend linearized early time solutions to describe the full time course of the reaction and reveal [see details in (16)] that the time evolution of the most important experimentally accessible observables, the principal moments of the distribution \( f(t,j) \) (the number \( P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(t,j) \) and mass \( M = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j f(t,j) \) concentrations of filaments) can be written in closed form to a very good approximation (Fig. 1B) as the integrated rate laws

\[
P(t) = \frac{m_{tot}}{2n_0 - 1} - m_{tot}e^{-k_m} \frac{2}{2n_0 - 1} \frac{e^{-(2n_0 - 1)k_m t}}{\kappa} e^{-C_t e^{(2n_0 - 1)k_m t}},
\]

\[
M(t) = m_{tot}[1 - e^{-C_t e^{(2n_0 - 1)k_m t}} + k_m m_{tot} e^{-(2n_0 - 1)k_m t}],
\]

where \( \kappa = \sqrt{2m_{tot}k_m} \), represents the rate of multiplication of the filament population, \( m_{tot} = M(0) + m(0) \) is the total protein concentration, \( E_i(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-y}}{y^{1/2}} dy \) denotes the exponential integral function, \( C_t = k_m P(0) / (2m_{tot}) \), and the constant \( B_2 \) is fixed by the initial condition \( P(0) = 0 \). We note that the form of the rate of filament multiplication \( \kappa \), which, as discussed below, is a key parameter in our description of filamentous growth—is consistent with the idea that both the elongation rate \( m_{tot}k_m \), and the fragmentation rate \( k_m \), have to double for the overall rate of production of new filaments to double. We point out that we have assumed that the fragmentation rate is independent of the length of the filaments; this assumption was primarily made because of the current difficulty of obtaining reliable experimental estimates of length-dependent fragmentation rates.

The approach that we describe, however, can be in principle modified to take this effect, and indeed others, into consideration when more accurate measurements become available.

In the following, we demonstrate that the theoretical framework provided by Eqs. 2a and 2b has the capacity both to account for and to predict the outcome of protein aggregation reactions of the type associated with protein misfolding diseases. As a first example, we consider the case of a representative protein, insulin; the data in Fig. 2A show that a comprehensive series of reactions performed in vitro with different initial conditions, and resulting in differently shaped kinetic curves ranging from sigmoidal to convex, can be completely accounted for by the solution Eqs. 2a and 2b, with the same values of the two global parameters corresponding to the microscopic elongation and breakage rate constants.

According to our treatment, the sigmoidal growth kinetics can be observed as a result of secondary nucleation, here fibril fragmentation, even in the absence of rate-limiting primary nucleation events. This result contrasts with the classical theories of nucleated growth, where homogeneous nucleation is the only source of additional filaments and where such nucleation processes are consequently crucial for determining the duration of the lag phase (13)—the characteristic time of nucleated polymerization reactions during which the initial growth rate is small, and in some cases not measurable using bulk techniques (17).

The filament number concentration \( P(t) \) can be reconstructed experimentally (7) from measurements of the free monomer concentration \( m(t) = m_{tot} - M(t) \) and from the measurement of the rate of change \( M(t) \) in the mass concentration of polymers:

\[
P(t) = M(t) / (2k_m [m_{tot} - M(t)]).
\]

Comparison of the results of this reconstruction with the analytical expression for \( P(t) \) from Eqs. 2a and 2b demonstrates that in this case also the use of the same values of \( k_m \) and \( k_n \) yields good agreement with the measurements (Fig. 2B, inset).

**Fig. 1.** Kinetics of self-templated aggregation. (A) Growth through nucleation (1), elongation (2), and fragmentation (3) leads to sigmoidal kinetic curves (B) for the mass concentration of fibrils as a function of time. Dashed blue line, first moment \( M(t) \) computed from the numerical solution of the master equation, Eq. 1; solid blue curve, analytical solution given in Eqs. 2a and 2b, obtained through fixed-point iteration of the early time limit (dotted curve). The parameters are \( k_m = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), \( k_n = 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1} \), \( m_{tot} = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \), \( k_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), \( n_0 = 2 \), and \( M(0) = P(0) = 0 \). The definitions of the lag phase \( \nu_{lag} \) and the maximal growth rate \( \nu_{max} \) are shown.
The importance of fibril fragmentation for the phenomenon of amyloid growth can be illustrated with the very high sensitivity of the growth kinetics to mechanical shear. We examine in this context the polymerization of β-lactoglobulin (18) under a series of different controlled rates of shearing that promote breakup. We considered a constant primary nucleation rate, $k_\text{m}$, for the entire data set, and a one-parameter fit to each kinetic curve to allow the breakup rate to vary through different values of $\kappa$. As shown in Fig. 2C, the variation of this single parameter can account explicitly for the observed differences in both the lag time and the growth rate under different shear conditions. This result shows that even a moderate increase in fracture rate can have very important consequences for the number of protein molecules incorporated into the aggregates; hence, fragmentation emerges as possessing fundamental importance for determining key observables such as the lag phase. This result is also likely to lie at the heart of the well-known high sensitivity of the kinetics of fibril growth to agitation or sonication, processes known to introduce enhanced fragmentation but likely to leave largely unaffected other rate constants for the system.

Based on knowledge gained from the analysis of the rates of the individual processes underlying the growth of protein fibrils, we can now predict quantitatively how the course of this reaction is affected by changes in system parameters such as protein concentration. To illustrate this idea, we consider data from (19) for the amyloid growth rates of the WW domain in vitro as the concentration of the monomeric protein is varied over an order of magnitude. We extract the microscopic rates through a fit to one of the kinetic curves (Fig. 2D, blue line), and then quantitatively predict as a function of time, with no free parameters, the behavior of the system for a different set of initial conditions (Fig. 2D, gray line) simply through the scaling of the microscopic rates with concentration according to Eqs. 2a and 2b.

Our analytical theory further reveals general features of the growth of fragmenting filaments. First, considering the normalized maximal growth rate, given as the steepest slope of the sigmoidal kinetic trace (Fig. 1A) divided by the initial soluble protein concentration $v_{\text{max}} = m_{\text{tot}} \frac{\text{max}}{M(t)}$, where $m_{\text{tot}} = k_\text{m} [M(t)]$ and $\text{max} = \kappa \text{e}$, we have kept the leading $\kappa$ term in Eqs. 2a and 2b over constant and decaying terms, our results show that this maximal rate depends on the nature of the aggregates and the environment in which they are formed only through the single parameter $\kappa$, and not on other system parameters such as the number of nuclei present initially or on the primary nucleation rate. This result is in contrast to classical linear growth theories (20), where the growth rate and lag phase have strong dependencies on the specific details of the homogeneous nucleation process.

A closer examination of the role of the parameter $\kappa$ reveals that it also essentially defines the lag phase. The lag phase exists only if the growth rate $m_{\text{tot}}/\kappa$ is maximal at the inflection point $t_{\text{max}} = \log(1/C_\text{m})/\kappa$, or equivalently for $M(0) < M_\text{c} = \kappa L_\text{c}/(2k_\text{c} \kappa)$, where $M_\text{c}$ represents a critical seed concentration which, if exceeded, results in a reaction proceeding without a lag phase. This prediction for the existence of such a threshold can be verified experimentally as shown in Fig. 2B. The conditions used result in a threshold of $M_\text{c} = 0.9 \mu M$, and it can be seen that the reaction starting with $M(0) = 0.21 \mu M$ proceeds with a marked time lag, whereas for $M(0) = 2.4 \mu M$ the rate profile is convex. If we define the lag time as shown in Fig. 1A by extrapolation from the maximal growth rate, we obtain the expression $\tau_{\text{lag}} = [\log(1/C_\text{m}) - \text{e} + 1] \kappa^{-1}$. It is well known that primary nucleation is an essential step in the phenomenon of amyloid growth in the absence of seeds, and a contribution to the duration of the lag phase can indeed result from such a nucleation process. Our results show, however, that the nucleation rate enters only as a logarithmic correction through $C_\text{m}$.

**Fig. 2.** Experimental measurements of the polymer mass concentration $M(t)$ of fibrillar insulin in (A) and (B) are explained using Eqs. 2a and 2b with the two parameters $k_\text{m} = 2.9 \times 10^6 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_\text{c} = 2.1 \times 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}$. In (A), the total monomer concentration was (from top to bottom) $m_{\text{tot}} = 149 \mu M$, 98 $\mu M$, and 49 $\mu M$, and the seed mass concentration used was $M(0) = 0.21 \mu M$. In (D), for a constant $m_{\text{tot}} = 98 \mu M$, the seed concentration was (from left to right) $M(0) = 2.4 \mu M$, 0.81 $\mu M$, 0.21 $\mu M$, and 0 $\mu M$, and the average polymerization number of the seed fibrils $L_\text{c} = M(0)/\text{P}(0) = 1380$ was estimated from AFM measurements (see SOM). The inset in (B) shows the polymer number concentration $P(t)$ determined simultaneously for the data in (A) and (B) for the same values of $k_\text{m}$ and $k_\text{c}$. The effect of fragmentation is shown in (C); the polymerization of β-lactoglobulin was measured by Hill et al. (18) for increasing shear rates (right to left: 0, 25, 50, 100, and 200 $\text{s}^{-1}$) and was scaled here between 0% and 100%. The values $n_\text{c} = 2, k_\text{c} = 22.8$ were held constant in all the data sets, and $\kappa = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}, 4.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}, 2.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}, 3.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{s}^{-1}$, and 0 $\text{s}^{-1}$. (D) Experimental measurements from Ferguson et al. (19) for the polymerization of the WW domain. The two parameters in Eqs. 2a and 2b were derived from the data at $m_{\text{tot}} = 50 \mu M$ (blue curve); using the resulting values of $k_\text{m} = 1.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, $k_\text{c} = 13.2 \text{M}^{-2}$ to predict the polymerization time course for $m_{\text{tot}} = 100 \mu M$, 200 $\mu M$, and 500 $\mu M$ is shown in gray, whereby the parameter $n_\text{c} = 2$ is fixed. The comparison between this prediction (green curves) and the experimental measurements (green circles) demonstrates that both the maximal rate and the time at which this rate occurs are predicted accurately. Data not considered in the fits are shown with filled gray squares.
in the expression for \( \tau_{lag} \); therefore, when secondary nucleation pathways are active, we conclude that the experimental lag time is primarily determined by the exponential growth regime that takes place in the initial phase of the reaction. Under these circumstances, the formation of oligomeric species, a process that has been observed concomitantly with fibril formation and linked with cellular toxicity (2), is likely to contribute to such logarithmic terms and may therefore have a limited influence on the overall growth kinetics of fibrillar species. These results reveal that, although the kinetic curves for processes dominated by primary nucleation (20) and by secondary nucleation give rise to apparently similar kinetic traces, their origin is fundamentally different.

Our theory also provides a general demonstration of the validity of a conjecture about amyloid fibril growth (21, 22) that the lag time is commonly highly correlated with the inverse maximal growth rate. Indeed, for fragmentation-assisted growth, we have shown that both the lag phase and the maximal growth rate depend primarily on \( \kappa \). Therefore, the correlation emerges naturally without the requirement for the responses of the processes of nucleation and growth to changes in system parameters to be identical, a situation generally likely to be incompatible with structural and mechanistic information but required to enforce this correlation under the assumption that the lag phase is defined by primary nucleation processes and the growth phase solely by fibril elongation. Although the coefficient \([\log(C_0/C_m)−e+1]\) linking the lag time to the maximal growth rate is variable for different proteins and different experimental conditions, the fact that these differences only enter as logarithmic corrections implies that the general inverse correlation between lag time and growth rate remains valid. In Fig. 3, this idea is illustrated with a red band that shows that the overall correlation is maintained, even when the factor \( C_m \) has been artificially varied by a factor of \( 10^{20} \), a value chosen to exceed greatly the range of variability accessible experimentally.

More generally, the parameter \( \kappa \), which corresponds to the rate of multiplication of the population of filaments, emerges as the most important quantity describing the overall properties of systems that self-assemble by processes that involve elongation and fragmentation. Our results show that in the regime where secondary nucleation through fragmentation of aggregates is a more effective source of additional structures than primary nucleation \([k_m / k_m^{\text{fr}}] > 1\] or the current of variability accessible experimentally.

A particularly striking result that follows from the dominance of a single kinetic parameter in this regime of elongation-fragmentation growth is that generic scaling laws emerge for the behavior of the system. For instance, the lag time is predicted to scale weakly with an exponent \( \gamma = -0.5 \) with respect to the monomer concentration (because \( \tau_{lag} \sim \kappa^{-1} \)).

Our theory also provides a general demonstration of the validity of a conjecture about amyloid fibril growth (21, 22) that the lag time is commonly highly correlated with the inverse maximal growth rate. Indeed, for fragmentation-assisted growth, we have shown that both the lag phase and the maximal growth rate depend primarily on \( \kappa \). Therefore, the correlation emerges naturally without the requirement for the responses of the processes of nucleation and growth to changes in system parameters to be identical, a situation generally likely to be incompatible with structural and mechanistic information but required to enforce this correlation under the assumption that the lag phase is defined by primary nucleation processes and the growth phase solely by fibril elongation. Although the coefficient \([\log(C_0/C_m)−e+1]\) linking the lag time to the maximal growth rate is variable for different proteins and different experimental conditions, the fact that these differences only enter as logarithmic corrections implies that the general inverse correlation between lag time and growth rate remains valid. In Fig. 3, this idea is illustrated with a red band that shows that the overall correlation is maintained, even when the factor \( C_m \) has been artificially varied by a factor of \( 10^{20} \), a value chosen to exceed greatly the range of variability accessible experimentally.

More generally, the parameter \( \kappa \), which corresponds to the rate of multiplication of the population of filaments, emerges as the most important quantity describing the overall properties of systems that self-assemble by processes that involve elongation and fragmentation. Our results show that in the regime where secondary nucleation through fragmentation of aggregates is a more effective source of additional structures than primary nucleation \([k_m / k_m^{\text{fr}}] > 1\] or the current of variability accessible experimentally.

A particularly striking result that follows from the dominance of a single kinetic parameter in this regime of elongation-fragmentation growth is that generic scaling laws emerge for the behavior of the system. For instance, the lag time is predicted to scale weakly with an exponent \( \gamma = -0.5 \) with respect to the monomer concentration (because \( \tau_{lag} \sim \kappa^{-1} \)).

Our theory also provides a general demonstration of the validity of a conjecture about amyloid fibril growth (21, 22) that the lag time is commonly highly correlated with the inverse maximal growth rate. Indeed, for fragmentation-assisted growth, we have shown that both the lag phase and the maximal growth rate depend primarily on \( \kappa \). Therefore, the correlation emerges naturally without the requirement for the responses of the processes of nucleation and growth to changes in system parameters to be identical, a situation generally likely to be incompatible with structural and mechanistic information but required to enforce this correlation under the assumption that the lag phase is defined by primary nucleation processes and the growth phase solely by fibril elongation. Although the coefficient \([\log(C_0/C_m)−e+1]\) linking the lag time to the maximal growth rate is variable for different proteins and different experimental conditions, the fact that these differences only enter as logarithmic corrections implies that the general inverse correlation between lag time and growth rate remains valid. In Fig. 3, this idea is illustrated with a red band that shows that the overall correlation is maintained, even when the factor \( C_m \) has been artificially varied by a factor of \( 10^{20} \), a value chosen to exceed greatly the range of variability accessible experimentally.

More generally, the parameter \( \kappa \), which corresponds to the rate of multiplication of the population of filaments, emerges as the most important quantity describing the overall properties of systems that self-assemble by processes that involve elongation and fragmentation. Our results show that in the regime where secondary nucleation through fragmentation of aggregates is a more effective source of additional structures than primary nucleation \([k_m / k_m^{\text{fr}}] > 1\] or the current of variability accessible experimentally.

A particularly striking result that follows from the dominance of a single kinetic parameter in this regime of elongation-fragmentation growth is that generic scaling laws emerge for the behavior of the system. For instance, the lag time is predicted to scale weakly with an exponent \( \gamma = -0.5 \) with respect to the monomer concentration (because \( \tau_{lag} \sim \kappa^{-1} \)).
evaluated (Fig. 4). Furthermore, in the case of the aggregation of glutamine-rich peptides, nucleus sizes of less than 0 appear to emerge (23) when using classical theories of homogeneous nucleation growth, whereas this type of low concentration dependence emerges naturally from our less-than-linear scaling laws and suggests that breakage is likely to be a key factor in the aggregation of polyglutamine peptides and hence potentially an important contributor to the development of disorders such as Huntington’s disease (2).

It is interesting to note that in the limit of a vanishing breakage rate, \( k_\text{c} \to 0 \), an expansion of the schema described in this paper to growth theories (15) – 1 is good (typical differences comparable in magnitude to common experimental errors), systematic differences are present in the intermediate time regime. Qualitatively, these are primarily because the filament number concentration \( \mathcal{C}_\text{f} \) entering the expression for \( M(t) \) in Eqs. 4a and 4b in the first interaction is from the linearized solution, and therefore the protein conversion rate \( dm(1)dt \) is overestimated. These errors can be minimized by successive iterations of the fixed-point operator beyond the first-order solution discussed here.
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